SENATOR DECAMP: I am not sure that what occurred was legal, proper, or anything else and I would like to make that a matter of record.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay.

SENATOR DECAMP: And bitch a little while I am doing it, you

know. However, live and learn, what the heck.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Did you wish to speak to Senator Marsh's

motion?

SENATOR DECAMP: No, I think it is fine.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Senator Withem, do you think it is

fine?

SENATOR WITHEM: No, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I do not favor the resolution. I really wasn't even going to speak on it. I just wanted a chance to separate it out and vote it separately but Senator Newell in his last moments here has pressed upon me the responsibility of speaking on this. So I would just like to say that what Senator Marsh is proposing in this resolution is, in effect, a rather drastic change in the checks and balances that exist on the federal level. It is true we are not changing those at all. We are just going on record as supporting that change and, again, it is a fairly substantive statement of policy that I don't think we should be voting on just in the last dying moments of this session. My only comment would be that if the line-item veto is such a great means of controlling spending on the federal level that we had ought to ask Governor Kerrey what he thinks of the line-item veto as a great method of controlling spending on the state level. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I would rise to support the resolution. You know this body doesn't make the practice, at least certainly not to the extent Congress does, of